The Deception Tactics of the UK Ṣa'āfiqah Never Gets Old!

"O Ṣa'āfiqah, why hide what you *really* think of Shaikh Dr. Sulaymān ar-Ruḥaylī?"

This morning, I noticed that the twitter page @MusafiqahCom has recently released a recording in which Shaikh Sulaymān ar-Ruḥaylī says Shaikh Muḥammad bin Hādī is a: **'student (talib)'**.

However, what I am going to reveal today is the several points which highlight their deception tactics, backtracking and lies:

POINT 1: At the time in which Shaikh Sulaymān ar-Ruḥaylī said Shaikh Muḥammad bin Hādī is a: 'student (talib)'[1], the UK Ṣa'āfiqah were not promoting this speech. Rather they were giving Shaikh Muḥammad bin Hādī the following titles:

1. **'Allāma**
2. **'Ālim of Jarh wat-Ta'dīl.**

The Prophet (ﷺ) said, "Whoever has the following four (characteristics) will be a pure hypocrite and whoever has one of the following four characteristics will have one characteristic of hypocrisy unless and until he gives it up…" One of them being: **"Whenever he quarrels, he behaves in a very imprudent, evil and insulting manner."** The Ṣa'āfiqah will do anything to try and make the Salafīs believe **even that which incriminates them, exposes them, and proves them to be liars and deceivers**. Adopting the traits of the hypocrites as mentioned in the hadith above, in that when they quarrel and debate, they resort to **vile tactics and dishonesty**. They want to tell us now that Shaikh Muḥammad bin Hādī was only **ever** a student and **not** a scholar – whilst they never promoted this speech, nor even gave it any consideration or weight in the past. With that said, it is known that recently that Shaikh Sulaymān ar-Ruḥaylī said he is an Alim from the 'Ulema of the Sunnah. So this deceptive attempt would only fool the simpleton amongst them, which sadly seems like the majority of their followers. This also exposes further that these people have no shame or religiosity.[2] All of this serves as clear-cut rational evidence to those who possess any form of intellect, that these people are a cult that deceives masses into believing things when it suits them. We ask them: **1.** Why did you not portray Shaikh Muḥammad bin Hādī simply as a 'student' before, **2.** Why did you not promote Shaikh Sulaymān ar-Ruḥaylī speech before? **Or**, **do you raise high those that are with you, and degrade those that are not?**

---

[1] Several years ago, during the fitnah of Ibrāhīm ar-Ruḥaylī.

[2] If for a clever reason they respond by saying, 'well every scholar is a student of knowledge.' Then this would expose even more how deceptive they are. Since they're putting out pieces of information to confuse and change the people's minds regarding the Shaikh's position.

POINT 2: The Ṣa'āfiqah have recently taken a **strong** position (mawqif) against Shaikh Sulaymān ar-Ruḥāylī due to him titling Shaikh Muḥammad as a: **Mujāhid Ṣābir** (a patient individual who strives for the sake of Allah). Something which angered the Ṣa'āfiqah and made their new shaikh: **Abu Sulāyman Fu'ād az-Zantānī** revile Shaikh Sulaymān ar-Ruḥaylī in a writing, in which he states (as was spread via various social media & messaging groups):

حكمه باطل مناقض للواقع كيف يكون ابن هادي مجاهدا صابرا وهو يطعن في السلفيين بلا حجة ولا برهان والرحيلي يعلم هذا وكذلك فرق السلفيين في كل العالم والرحيلي يعلم هذا وكذلك دعا للفرقة في الجزائر والرحيلي يعلم هذا وقذف مسلما في بيت من بيوت الله والرحيلي يعلم هذا والشيخ ربيع تكلم فيه وحذر منه وممن يتبعه على باطله وكذلك الشيخ عبيد والشيخ الفوزان والشيخ البنا **ثم يأتي الرحيلي** ويقول عنه مجاهد صابر فأي جهاد وأي صبر وهل هذا من النصح للمسلمين والله المستعان

كتبه الشيخ أبو سليمان فؤاد الزنتاني حفظه الله

"His (Shaikh Sulaymān ar-Ruḥāylī) ruling on Shaikh Muhammad is one of falsehood and in opposition to reality. How can ibn Hādī be a Mujāhid Ṣābir and he refutes Salafīs without proof and evidences. And ar-Ruḥāylī knows this, and he has also split the Salafīs, and ar-Ruḥāylī knows this. And he calls for the people in Algeria to split (i.e. from the Ṣa'āfiqah) and ar-Ruḥāylī knows this. And he made qadhf of a Muslim in the house of Allah and ar-Ruḥāylī knows this. And Shaikh Rabi spoke about him and warned against him and those who follow him upon falsehood, and Shaikh Ubaid, Shaikh Fawzan[3] and Shaikh al-Bana then ar-Ruḥāylī comes along and says: 'he's a **Mujāhid Ṣābir**'(!) Then what

[3] This is falsehood, Shaikh Fawzan doesn't warn against Shaikh Muhammad, rather he advises with attending his lessons. Also, he denied the speech which Abdullah Dhufayri attributed to him: https://twitter.com/Saafiqah_/status/1068883136208150529

> jihad and patience? Is this sincerity towards the Muslims? Allah's aid is sought. [End quote]

Elsewhere, within an audio Abu Sulāyman Fu'ād az-Zantānī expresses his opinion about those who are with Shaikh Muḥammad bin Hādī, and his speech is a revilement of many scholars of Ahlus-Sunnati wal-Jamā'at.[4] He says:

> "What is this speech? *'know your level, know your level.'* Ok, fine. **YOU are not with the major scholars (!) YOU are with Muḥammad bin Hādī** and he is not with the major scholars. This is the reality I am telling straightforward. The major scholars (i.e. Shaikh Rabi) are saying the speech of Muḥammad bin Hādī is falsehood and not the truth. Rather Shaikh Rabi has warned against attending the lessons of Muḥammad bin Hādī and this is something well established. Up until he retracts from what he has said about his brothers. So, are you with the scholars then? Are you with Shaikh Rabi? You speak with proofs & evidences? (Rather) Shaikh Rabi speaks with proofs & evidences..."

---

[4] For those that are unaware, the scholars that currently defend and/or praise Shaikh Muḥammad bin Hādī are:

1. Shaikh Sālih al-Fawzan
2. Shaikh Sulaymān Abal-Khayl
3. Shaikh Abdur-Rahman Muhiyddin
4. Shaikh Sulaymān ar-Ruḥaylī
5. Shaikh Hasan al-Bana (**YES**, Shaikh Hasan al-Bana hasn't dropped him.)
6. Shaikh Muhammad Bazmul
7. Shaikh Muhammad Sagheer Akur
8. Shaikh Abdullah an-Najmi
9. Shaikh Ahmad Bazmul
10. Shaikh Usama al-Utaybi

And many other Mashaikh of Ahlus-Sunnah.

Additionally, their other Shaikh, Nizār bin Hāshim al-'Abbās said regarding our noble Shaikh Dr. Sulaymān ar-Ruḥaylī which was also spread via various social media groups & platforms.

رسالة إلى الشيخ الدكتور سليمان الرحيلي :السلام عليكم ورحمة الله وبركاته :نسأل الله يا فضيلة الدكتور أن يوفقكم لكلّ خير .وصلتنا من فضيلتكم أشياء استغربناها كثيرًا، ولم نعرف كيف نجيب عنها، ولا كيف نحملها على المحمل الحسن .ففي الوقت الذي اختلف الشيخ الدكتور محمد بن هادي المدخلي مع الدكتور إبراهيم الرحيلي كنتم واقفين مع الدكتور إبراهيم الرحيلي، وهذا أمر معروف عنكم . وعندما رأينا الشيخ الدكتور محمد بن هادي المدخلي يرمي إخوة أبرياء بأنهم صعافقة رأيناكم متعاطفين جدًّا مع الدكتور محمد بن هادي المدخلي. ولم نجد منكم نصيحة بأن يتقي الله في إخوانه ممن ينبزهم بهذه الألقاب .وعندما دافع فضيلة الشيخ ربيع بن هادي المدخلي عن أبنائه وطلابه رأيناكم تقولون: إن العالم قد لا تصله الأمور على وجهها، وإذا وصلته فلعله قد لا يفهم بعضها .فقال بعض الناس: إنك تعني الشيخ ربيعًا، وهناك من حمل كلامك على أحسن المحامل .ثم لما حذّر الشيخ ربيع من الحضور للدكتور محمد بن هادي سمعنا فضيلتكم تقول: **"اتقوا الله يا شباب أتريدون أن تسقطوا عالماً من علماء أهل السنة** ."ولا ندري كيف رضيتم أن يسقط الدكتور محمد بن هادي بعض مشايخ أهل السنة .ثم زرتم الشيخ ربيعًا، ثم خرجتم من عنده وأوهمتم الناس أنكم ستصلحون بين الأطراف، ولم تذكر للناس أن الشيخ ربيعًا اشترط عليك أن يتراجع محمد بن هادي المدخلي عن رميه لإخوانه .وسألك إمام وخطيب مسجد السلف الصالح بأمريكا عن جرح شيخه الدكتور محمد بن هادي المدخلي للصعافقة وأنه وقع بسبب ذلك خلاف شديد، وكنا نتوقّع منكم أن تحيلوا الناس إلى كلام الشيخ ربيع وتذكروا أن الأمور انتهت، لكن رجاؤنا خاب لما قلتم: **"أنا أسعى في علاج المسألة حاليا، وإذا انتهيت سأعلن عن كلّ شيء وأذكر رأيي".** انتهى كلامكم .ولا أدري من هذا الذي ينتظر كلامكم، فالقضية منتهية ومحسومة بحكم الشيخ ربيع المدخلي .نرجو من فضيلتكم أن تدقّقوا أكثر في هذه المواقف .كتبها أخ محب الخير لك.

“Assalamualaykum Wa Rahmatulahi Wa Barakatuhu. We ask Allah to grant success to the noble doctor to every type of goodness. Things have reached us regarding you that has really surprised us, **and we did not even know how we could answer it, nor how we could even give it a good thought**. In the time in which (Shaikh) Dr. Muḥammad bin Hādī had differed with Dr. Ibrahim ar-Ruḥaylī, you were in

agreement with Dr. Ibrahim ar-Ruḥaylī and this is something well-known about you. And now when (Shaikh) Dr. Muḥammad bin Hādī has targeted 'innocent brothers' that they're Ṣa'āfiqah, we see you being sympathetic for (Shaikh) Dr. Muḥammad bin Hādī. We haven't seen from you one piece of advice that he should fear Allah regarding our brothers of those whom he gives this title. And when Shaikh Rabi defends his students and sons, we see you saying: *'It is possible that the scholar doesn't see the full details for what it is, or perhaps he doesn't understand it.'* So, some people are saying, that you here are intending Shaikh Rabi and there are others who are giving it good thought. Additionally, when Shaikh Rabi warned against attending (the lessons of) (Shaikh) Dr. Muḥammad bin Hādī you say: *'Fear Allah O Youth, do you want to drop an ('**Ālim**) scholar from the scholars of Ahlus-Sunnah?'* And we have no idea how you are pleased that (Shaikh) Dr. Muḥammad bin Hādī has dropped some of our mashaikh Ahlus-Sunnah. Thereafter, you visited Shaikh Rabi and then left, and people were under the influence that you would rectify the affairs between the groups. And when the people were reminded, Shaikh Rabi stipulated upon you that (Shaikh) Dr. Muḥammad bin Hādī retract from his attacks on the brothers(?). The Imam & Khatib of Masjid Salafus-Salih in America asked you about the criticism (jarḥ) of his Shaikh Dr. Muḥammad bin Hādī upon the Ṣa'āfiqah and how due to it great differences have occurred. And we expected from you to refer people to the speech of Shaikh Rabi as a reminder that the affairs have ended. However, our hope (in you) was shown to be a failure when you said: *'I am trying to deal with the affair in this moment of time, and if I am finished, I will announce openly and mention my view.'* End quote. And I have no idea who is waiting for your words. The case is over by the ruling of Shaikh Rabi. We hope you be careful in situations like this. Written by a brother who loves good for you."

These individuals are respected by Salafī Publications & Co and one of them is their new sheikh that has surfaced recently from the UAE. They agree with their positions (since they spread their speech in groups), and if they don't agree with his speech**, then this is an open challenge for them to come out in open to reject their speech or admit they agree with them** against our Shaikh Sulaymān ar-Ruḥāylī. So, let not their quoting of Shaikh Sulaymān ar-Ruḥaylī fool you. They don't respect him, **nor do they speak well of him in their private gatherings**. Those who know, know **very well** what we are talking about!

POINT 3: When Shaikh Sulaymān ar-Ruḥaylī travelled to the UK to give a series of lectures in a Salafī mosque called, MHBAY, none of the Ṣa'āfiqah promoted it.[5] Rather, some of them took a **strong stance** not to go and **openly expressed this attitude to brothers privately and openly**. Something which is known to anyone who lives in the UK and was around when this occurred. **Not one** "ustādh" in the UK *advised* or *encouraged* the Salafī youth to sit and benefit from Shaikh Dr. Sulaymān ar-Ruḥaylī, from those with the Salafī Publications Cooperation. All praise is due to Allah, some Salafīs were free from care whether or not they advised with it. But it's a sad reality that many Salafī youth did due to being **blinded with a form of subconscious partisanship and just mimicking like parrots what they see the 'elders' doing**. You have to ask yourself, **if they *really* respected Shaikh Sulaymān ar-Ruḥaylī as a *respected* scholar, then why would they do this?** It would be nice if they would share **in public** what they say about Shaikh Sulaymān ar-Ruḥaylī **in private**, and I doubt they would since they're a bunch of cowards! **And that's just the tip of the iceberg. O Ṣa'āfiqah, why hide what you *really* think of Shaikh Dr. Sulaymān ar-Ruḥaylī? BE CLEAR!**

28/12/2018
Manhaj Ṣa'āfiqah Exposed
@Saafiqah

[5] An argument that he was attending a masjid of 'bid'ah' cannot be used since MHBAY is a well-known Salafi Masjid.